

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/566,242	01/30/2006	Jan Petrus Human	P08831US00/DEJ	3423	
881 STITES & HA	7590 02/13/2007 RBISON PLLC		EXAM	·····	
1199 NORTH I	FAIRFAX STREET		GERRITY, STEE	GERRITY, STEPHEN FRANCIS	
SUITE 900 ALEXANDRIA	Δ VΔ 22314	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALLAMIDICI	1, 171 22311		3721		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
31.0	2VS	02/13/2007	PAF	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/566,242	HUMAN, JAN PETR	us		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen F. Gerrity	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ess		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become a	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this commandation (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	January 2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the little specific to the specific	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age		
		,			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Statement Office	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/566,242 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

RESTRICTION

Response to Preliminary Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of a preliminary amendment, filed 30 January 2006, which has been placed of record and entered in the file.

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claims 1-14, drawn to a method and apparatus for molding.
- Group II, claims 15-17, drawn to a cap and method of installing the cap on a container.
- 3. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons.
 - Group I has the special technical feature of the open/closing mold components
 and feeding a charge of moldable material into the molding well for molding of
 the charge between the mold components.
 - Group II has the special technical feature of the structure of a cap and the cap is installed on a container, in which the cap has a skirt and a band, with the band connected to the skirt by bridges.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,242

Art Unit: 3721

Accordingly, the two groups do not have the same or a corresponding special technical feature.

4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Douglas Jackson (applicant's representative) on 9 February 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of an invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen F. Gerrity whose telephone number is 571-272-4460. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 5:30 - 2:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,242

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Status information for Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721

9 February 2007